IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 12TH JUNE 2007 / 22ND JYAISHTA 1929

WA.No. 1293 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.23407/2003 Dated 03/01/2007
.....

APPELLANT: RESPONDENTS IN THE WRIT PETITION
----

1. DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE
EDUCATION, ERNAKULAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BENNY GERVASIS

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 3 & 4 IN THE W.P.
----

1. G.VALSALA DEVI, LECTURER,
DEPARTMENT OF MALAYALAM, SANATHANA DHARMA COLLEGE,
ALAPPUZHA.

2. THE UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
UNIVERSITY BUILDING, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE PRINCIPAL, SANATHANA DHARMA COLLEGE,
ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.S.SANAL KUMAR
SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN
SRI.M.RAJAGOPLAN NAIR

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.
==========
W.A. NO.1293 OF 2007(E)
==========
Dated this the 12th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Radhakrishnan, J.

The writ petition was preferred by the respondent herein to issue a writ of certiorari and to quash Ext.P2 and also for a direction to the 2nd respondent to approve the salary bill of the petitioner which was sent by the 4th respondent for the payment of salary of the petitioner and also for other consequential reliefs.

The learned Single Judge allowed the writ petition. The appointment of the first respondent was approved by the University. If the appellants have got any objections, they would have taken the matter with the university which they have not done. Hence we find no reasons to interfere with the findings of the learned Single Judge. Writ Appeal lacks merits. Dismissed.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp